

Usage of Decision Support Systems (DSS) in corporate procurement process

Edvard Tijan, Sanja Mohorovičić

Faculty of Maritime Studies
University of Rijeka
Studentska 2, Rijeka 51000, Croatia
{etijan, sanja}@pfri.hr

Sasa Aksentijevic

Saipem Mediteran Usluge d.o.o.
Human Resources, Organization and ICT Dpt.
Alda Collonnella 2, Rijeka 51000, Croatia
axy@vip.hr

Abstract. *In efficient execution of procurement process, goods and services are obtained under best possible conditions (it usually refers to prices, time and quality), while aiming to ensure delivery at the right time in the right place in order to derive direct benefits and to support the corporate business process. While procurement may be simple and consist of repeated purchases, complex procurement procedures include mid and long term contracting to obtain strategic goods and services and may cause significant financial impact and impair corporate business plan execution. The environment in which the procurement is executed is under influence of constant changes due to economic and political contributing factors, especially when considering global scale, so efficient procurement is under constant scrutiny to adequately support core business processes while avoiding interruptions, untimely deliveries and bottlenecks in logistic systems, often contained outside of the corporate system. Considering intricacies of such a system, this paper shows a basic blueprint for the organization of procurement system able to incorporate information-transaction systems in order to utilize mid and top management decision support systems to further improve the efficiency of this business function. Such a system calls for exact alignment of business processes and information-transaction systems and the creation of coherent reporting, and finally, extrapolation and simulation systems able not to provide only a detailed look into the past but also to look ahead, into the future of the business, which is dependent on the constant change of inputs.*

Keywords: *decision support systems, procurement, key performance indicators, information-transaction systems*

1 Introduction

Procurement is a process of obtaining goods and services at the best possible prices, while at the same time aiming to maximize quantity and quality, ensure delivery at the right time and place in order to derive direct benefits in execution of the business process. Simple procurement procedures include repeated purchases while complex procurement may include finding the right partner and creation of contracts for the duration of the business endeavour itself.

Even simple procurement is under the influence of many factors. Out of all possible options, it is necessary to choose the adequate one and to find the right answer to a number of questions and challenges - is it more favorable to purchase goods and services at once or in several portions, what is the total cost of procurement, what does the physical execution of transport look like, what methods of transport, logistics and storage are going to be used, etc [1].

Procurement is only a part of the overall corporate supply chain. It has to cooperate closely with other functions in order to achieve synergy – in that way, in complex projects, the purchasing process becomes cross functional, providing global sourcing in a multicultural environment. Managing such complex operations would certainly not be possible without the efficient decision making and tools that support it.

The limiting factor of procurement is the budget. If good quality data for decision support is available, the procurement must be able to obtain the goods at the least possible price, fulfilling the requests of those who need the goods and services.

2 Procurement process

For the procurement process, it is critical to have a high quality definition of goods and services which are to be purchased. In complex environments such requests usually originate from organization units outside of the procurement department. In case of services, this definition of goods is often called the Scope of Work (SoW). The definition of scope of work is inherently connected with a certain need – without need per se, there is no initiation of the procurement process either. The need and the Scope of Work are followed by the basic phases of procurement process:

1. Information gathering

If a prospective buyer does not have established relations with the functions of sales and marketing on the side of vendor, procurement has to look for vendors able to satisfy special needs.

2. Contact with the vendor

When one or more vendors are identified, requests for offers are being sent out. Sometimes, depending on the context, calls for tenders or quotation requests are being processed. It is also possible to make a direct contact with the vendor.

3. Background research

References for quality of certain products and goods are being evaluated, the possibility of installation, maintenance and follow up to the agreed warranty terms is being researched. In this phase, it is advisable for procurement to ask for the proof of concept or samples of goods to be provided by the vendors.

4. Negotiation

During the process of negotiation, prices, availability and possibility of adjustment to the purchaser's needs are being negotiated. The delivery schedule is agreed upon and both actions define the procurement order or contract.

5. Execution

During execution, the vendor delivers agreed goods and services, based on pre-agreed terms. The payment is also being effected. If delivery dates are respected, the purchase order or contract is terminated or closed.

6. Usage, maintenance and disposal

The delivery of agreed goods does not end the procurement process. On the contrary: during

usage, maintenance and disposal the buying party follows and evaluates the ability of the vendor to deliver agreed deliverables and to provide support during exploitation and duration of the contract.

7. Renewal

After the agreed services and goods were provided, or if the contract has expired, or a need has emerged to order the same or similar services or goods again, the experience with particular vendor is being revised. In this phase a decision has to be made whether to conduct business with the same vendor or to evaluate the possibility of engaging another vendor.

In many complex organizations, especially those involved in complex projects or executing complex activities, especially in large project environments, in dislocated and difficult areas, procurement is often recognized as a strategic activity due to the fact that its inputs provide fundamental initiator and catalyst to the underlying business process. High value of the projects often means complex relations to the vendors. The very fact that complex projects require high purchasing volumes in terms of quantity, quality and variety of required goods and services further complicates the procurement process.

In order for successful procurement to support the business process, it is important to think in terms of the total cost of ownership (TCO). Cost of ownership analysis (or total cost of ownership, TCO), is a business case designed especially to find the lifetime costs of acquiring, operating, and changing something in the business process [2]. This includes not only the direct purchase price at which the services and goods are obtained, but also the time and other resources that are spent during the ownership and exploitation. By understanding the steps involved with procurement, it is possible to achieve better understanding of the real cost involved with obtaining any good or service.

3 Information- transaction systems in procurement

During the past decade, many large corporations have implemented integral systems aimed towards following business activities and providing decision supporting. Some of those systems have de facto become standards for follow up and analysis of business processes of medium and large companies. Such information-transaction systems usually cover typical identified corporate organizational core functions. Most often used modules are those for administration and controlling, human resource management, material management,

sales and distribution, production planning and - procurement.

Every information-transaction model is used to gather information regarding specific business function but it is usually interconnected with other modules. Such system is then referred to as Decision Support System (DSS). Decision support systems (DSS) are a subset of computer-based information systems comprised of a variety of information systems such as office automation systems, transaction processing systems, management information systems and management support systems [3].

There are numerous reasons why information-transaction systems are a necessity in procurement process. Large corporations are usually involved in business with hundreds of suppliers and a significant number of qualified vendors. Business activity is physically executed in remote and often unknown areas with emphasized local content. The environment that presents a framework for procurement activities is more and more complex and subject to uncertainty due to corporate activities such as takeovers and mergers. This is the reason why corporations should have a centralized place to store all vendor information and purchase related data, typically in a form of Data Warehouse. Data warehouse is a repository of an organization's electronically stored data designed to facilitate reporting and analysis process [4].

There is a number internal and external factors affecting the performance of every business whose volume of information available to management can be a distraction. Key Performance Indicators (KPI) are key indicators which reflect the performance and progress of the business, they are measurable, can be compared to a baseline and can be acted upon [5]. Based on all stored data it is possible to identify, deploy and calculate a system of key performance indicators (KPI) during decision procedure, perform requests over Data Warehouse and develop a system to support top management and procurement managers.

Decision support systems within procurement departments enable the following procurement process:

- Implementation of efficient methodologies of vendor management and selection,
- Follow up of vendors from the beginning (commercial phase) and contract formation to completed deliveries,
- Constant market research and evaluation of existing suppliers,
- Feedback information storage as a basis for further decisions,
- Calculating KPI as a support to top management decision processes.

4 Goods, services and vendors classification systems

In order to improve the quality of data and processes contained within the information-transaction system it is of great importance to classify the goods, services and vendors in order to better understand what is critical for the corporate procurement system, what is of strategic and what is of operative value. Depending on these classifications it is possible to optimize the procurement process, lower the cost, reallocate the resources and improve overall management of procurement.

In a typical corporate scenario, the goods and services that are subject to procurement are initially classified according to their criticality for corporate performance (see Fig 1 – adapted from [6]).

- Simple goods/services are those that have a low technological content and are readily available on the free market. (example – stationary, office supplies...),
- Non-critical goods/services are necessary for execution of business processes but do not influence the corporate performance directly (typical example - inspection services, transport containers),
- Critical goods/services have medium technological content and might have influence on business processes. They are usually included in activities that have higher risk levels (example - civil works),
- Strategic good/services are very complex, have high cost and high technological impact on corporate business process.




Figure 1. Classification of goods and services within the information-transaction system

Depending on the involvement level in goods and services delivered, vendors are further classified into different categories. The vendor qualification rules for vendors who directly produce goods and services are

generally more strict than for those who just resell them.

Based on this principle, one of the schemes that could be adopted in order to classify vendors is outlined in details (see Fig 2 – adapted from [6]).

- Vendors who do not produce complex goods directly but buy them and keep them for future reselling,
- Vendors who buy and sell standardized/generic goods directly on the free market without direct involvement in the production process,
- Resellers, or vendors who do not produce goods and services but represent one or more manufacturers and resell their goods and services,
- Manufacturers, or vendors who directly produce goods and services or perform subcontracting in which they are directly involved.




Figure 2. Vendor classification

Coherent and strict initial classification of vendors, goods and services provides a base for creation of standard forms for contracts and purchase orders within implemented procurement information-transaction system.

According to adopted typology and classes of vendors, it is possible to create a criticality matrix based on which it is possible to form a qualification process within Data Warehouse, so it is possible to apply adequate procedure of vendor qualification and selection for each purchase.

In order to normalize the set of contracts entering the Data Warehouse it is advisable to implement contract drafts. Every contract usually has fixed and variable clauses. Fixed part consists of general terms, quality system requirements, specifications and drawings while variable (flexible) part is a part that refers directly to the purchase of certain goods or services. The Data Warehouse should

have the possibility to dynamically change pre-existing drafts in order to improve the efficacy of the transaction system as a whole. Innovative approach during creation of contract drafts that will enter the Data Warehouse will be reflected in standardization, but also the fact that they should be comparable throughout the corporation, within all business units, and could be easily implemented in new business units. During definition of the common framework special attention should be paid to the specific nature of individual legal, tax and language systems that are pertinent to the environment where the corporation is executing its business. The result should be drafts that clearly reflect procurement policy of the corporation but are simultaneously flexible and adaptable to the market situation (see Fig. 3).




Figure 3. Classification of goods and services within the information-transaction system

As a best practice, it is advisable to develop the following drafts to facilitate standardization within the information-transaction system:

- draft of general terms,
- draft for orders and contract,
- draft for call for tender,
- draft for letter of intent,
- draft for offer acceptance,
- draft for bank guarantee.

Also, the purchase of goods and services should be clearly separated. All drafts basically contain commercial terms, links with general terms and suggestions for procurement department staff regarding negotiation and bank guarantees. As a rule all standard drafts should be available both on the corporate Intranet system and within the information-transaction system and they should represent a base point for population of Data Warehouse system important for procurement process.

5 Procurement Key Performance Indicators

Procurement management and corporate top level management are often in position to respond to a number of questions regarding efficiency of the procurement process that is also usually reflected on the individual projects and business units' execution. Without key performance indicators it is almost impossible even for the most experienced managers to adequately address such questions.

Those frequently asked questions may include the following:

- Which goods and services are most frequently obtained and what is the best acquisition price?
- Which vendors are the best partners in the procurement process in terms of prices and delivery date?
- During the project execution, when did the procurement department receive the purchase request? Was it on time?
- According to the delivered invoices, how does the paid price compare to the initial estimated purchase price?
- Are goods and services delivered in time?
- How many orders were processed by the procurement staff in a certain time period?
- What is the duration of purchase processing for each separate purchase request?

Based on responses to these questions, the top management has to make operative, tactical and strategic decisions in order to follow the results of all correlated activities. Such activities also include inspection, administration, finance and expediting. The top management also has to quickly and precisely define corrective actions in case of need and to support and steer the decision making process and manage all project and business units based on ad hoc analysis that meet specific request criteria.

Ideally, starting from a limited number of key performance indicators it is possible to widen the analysis at a higher detail level in order to gain access to adequate set of decision support data and corrective action application. A set of key performance indicators is pyramidal in shape and could be divided into three to four different levels. (Fig. 4)

Standard reports of zero (highest) level are used by the top management and they include a limited set of key performance indicators that follow the procurement activities and their influence on the organization as a whole. First level reports contain detailed analysis of zero level indicators while levels two and three are standard reports and detailed analysis prepared based on the specific and local

demands up to the level of a single product, service or vendor.




Figure 4. Proposal for organization of KPI levels

This system of KPI takes into consideration all phases of procurement, all its dimensions (quantities, key procurement time, efficiency) and all corporate entities involved in the procurement process, from the procurement department to various business units, technical departments and projects (as most like end users of Procurement services). A special feature of such procurement reporting system is the possibility to reach all needed data in order to define KPI from the integrated business support system. The main advantage of such a system is up to date data contained within the system because it is refreshed within the Data Warehouse in real time.

Zero level reports are at the very top of the reporting pyramid and are defined in advance on behalf of the procurement department. Their calculation is the competence of the central procurement coordination department or regulating body. There are a number of calculated basic indicators that cover basic dimensions and phases of the procurement process:

- Volumes – the amount of spent money, value of purchase with indication of deviation from the anticipated purchase budget in order to measure the difference of planned price in respect to the one that is achieved during the purchasing process
- Leading time – the time needed to process the purchasing request, calculated as a time elapsed from purchase request issued from the end user to the purchase order issued from the procurement department.
- Efficiency – cost of procurement is calculated as a percentage of the purchase value, thus enabling the most efficient procurement units in respect to its services provided

- Efficacy – measure of delivery latency calculated as a difference between real and projected delivery dates and charges of possible penalties for late delivery

Use of this seemingly simple and limited set of Key Performance Indicators enables adequate support to top level procurement management enabling them to assess the ability of procurement department to purchase goods and services at a good price, to assess its maneuverability and incurred cost as a consequence of material and service purchase in a given time period.

First level indicators are in fact detailed indicators of various data measures that can be used to analyze the reasons for deviations from the results that are expected for every procurement department and consequently measure and improve processes within the department. These indicators are calculated when zero level indicators show critical areas in order to concentrate on them. Every indicator is connected with the set department goals assigned by the top management for the certain period in order to improve the quality of provided services.

All Key Performance Indicators are calculated based on the data contained within the Data Warehouse. Such approach is necessary in order to manage report data and analysis in complex corporate realities and in order to guarantee consistency of results by utilizing a system that enables data certification, structuring and integration from various sources by producing analysis and reports. The development of Data Warehouse may also be entrusted to the central governing entity for coordination of all procurement activities – to the procurement coordination department.

Usually, all input data is obtained from the information-transaction system while volume data is obtained from a separate system that records purchased volumes. Modules supporting higher levels of decision supporting, or business warehouse, are used to formalize the results through predefined reports called business objects and standard business explorer queries (see Fig. 5).

Data Warehouse is the system that can properly support decision making in procurement – other than data reaching it enables analysis, research and report creation and organizes data obtained from other business areas linked with procurement such as administration, finance or asset management. The Data Warehouse system is therefore tightly connected to various transaction systems and its usage can guarantee the data validity and provide to procurement department the data needed for decision making.




Figure 5. Data Warehouse architecture

Therefore, Datawarehouse should be accessible by all users who need such data in their everyday work, not only managers, but also users involved in daily operationalization of procurement activities. The system should incorporate transversal standard reports that cover all steps of procurement activities that are especially useful during control of procurement flow. It should store into the Data Warehouse the data about phase completion including invoicing, payments and guarantees.

6 Procurement reporting system challenges

Primary challenge that the modern corporate procurement function has faced in the past decade is the change of methods of procurement from “one to many” where one call for tender was met by offers submitted by certain vendors to introduction of e-procurement, as a global marketplace for meeting of demand and supply, thus achieving more favorable prices and terms on the side of procurement organizations and better access to the market for the vendors. However, the procurement organizations themselves are facing the need for constant education of internal personnel and especially managers in the area of usage of intelligent business systems and methods to improve the decision making process on all levels. Even though the procurement has been trying for centuries to control the surrounding environment and therefore to derive benefits for the organization, now it is turning inwards - the procurement function has to execute constant training in the usage of newly emerging management methods.

Procurement function is not isolated within organizations. It is only a part of the overall business process. It is very important to align the procedures used by the central corporate procurement coordination department and separate procurement

functions present within organizational or project units in order to achieve homogenous procurement procedures. Only in such a scenario it is possible to fully derive benefits from the usage of management decision support systems.

Finally, procurement is more and more involved into the outsourced type of process that is represented by development of suppliers. This complex task is entirely impossible without information about suppliers, cost, volumes and provided quality of service in the past, but also extrapolation of trends in the future.

All these facts pose a significant challenge to the new global procurement function.

7 Procurement decision support systems

The most prevalent problem in the usage of reporting systems in procurement is the inherent need to use quarterly or half-yearly reporting paradigm, while complete report execution is not automatic. Even though there is a Data Warehouse in place, thus creating a solid base for advanced queries and analytic reporting, most of the work is usually done in a manual or semi-automatic mode. In such a system it is very problematic (and also cost inefficient) to make ad hoc queries and almost completely impossible to create simulations by extrapolating procurement trends or to use heuristic methods in order to anticipate entropy embedded into cyclic market movements. Therefore, the biggest challenge imposed to procurement reporting systems is the introduction of business intelligence (BI) systems over existing decision support systems based on structured queries on Data Warehouse. Business intelligence helps corporations to achieve the wider perspective and to evaluate the knowledge about all facts that have influence on business process execution, like sales metrics and realization and internal corporate operations. The final goal should be that business decision making becomes more aligned with the corporate mission. Business intelligence is completely leaned onto procurement data warehousing system and this eliminates any kind of bias or guessing. Such an advanced system can further strengthen intradepartmental communication and coordination. Furthermore, it could give corporations a competitive edge, placing them ahead of often hard recognizable trends and future events. Ideally, it would be possible to see all relevant performance indicators needed for decision supporting function to top management with automatic change of input values and analysis of output change in real time. Moreover, envisaged system of procurement business intelligence would enable simulations and ad hoc analysis and compared to existing data warehousing system business intelligence provides a view into the future while

those decision support systems based on data warehousing provide only good, but relatively limited static view of the past, leaving decisions to subjective and professional competence of the top management.

The final goal of introduction of next generation procurement decision support systems would be the implementation of dash boarding. Creating performance and information dashboards is considered a part of Business intelligence [8]. Dashboards are in this sense the procurement executive information system interfaces that are interactive and easily readable. They involve the data within the information-transaction systems and the procurement Data Warehouse as a driver, while underlying information technology is the enabler. Compared to the standard mechanical interpretation of the word “dashboard”, procurement dash boarding is not static; in fact, the change of inputs and instantaneous presentation of zero and first level KPI provides procurement top management the unprecedented ability to analyze the change of procurement paradigm based on change of underlying inputs. Typically, the cost of implementation of such a system, especially when solid transaction and Data Warehouse systems are already implemented, is shadowed compared to the dangers of inadequately managed strategic procurement function and possible incurred hidden costs.

8 Conclusion

In corporations that have already implemented the systems of business transactions and procurement key performance indicators, such a system usually provides adequate support to basic business reasoning and decision making using KPI system, queries and analysis towards top management and procurement management. However, in case of constant environment changes and especially in case of significant correlation with certain changes on the global market, it is possible to further improve decision making.

Such an improvement is expected if it is possible to extrapolate existing trends by using information already present in Data Warehouse and heuristic methods in order to adjust those trends to entropy embedded in cyclic movements of the market and business activity.

Prescribed method to achieve these goals would be the implementation of business intelligence. In classic sense, such systems when implemented enable analysis of detailed knowledge about facts that have a strong footprint on procurement operations within corporations with the goal to more adequately create the picture of the procurement mission. Business intelligence systems are entirely superimposed over Data Warehouse contained information and this is how almost all subjectivity in

decision making process is overridden. The system based on advanced principles can further strengthen the communication between departments and procurement function and coordinate them. It also provides procurement management and coordination management “the look into the future”, possibly ahead of the trends and future events. In the ideal case, it is possible to see all performance indicators and facts needed for decision making with dynamic change of inputs and analysis of outputs.

Compared to classic procurement Data Warehouse systems that provide only a glimpse into the future by using standard data mining methods, business intelligence systems provide the more effective look into the future.

References

- [1] Glossary of Defense Acquisition Acronyms and Terms, 12th Edition (plus updates since publication), Defence Acquisition University, <https://akss.dau.mil/dag/DoD5000.asp?view=document>, Accessed 22nd April 2009.
- [2] Schmidt M J: The Business Case Guide – 2nd edition, Solution Matrix Ltd, 2004.
- [3] Lazaris C, Mpispiki E, Moraiti M: Decision Support Systems, Department of Management Science & Technology, Athens University of Economics and Business, 2009.
- [4] Inmon, W H: Tech Topic: What is a Data Warehouse?, Prism Solutions, Volume 1. 1995.
- [5] "Key Performance Indicators", Directors` briefing, BHP Information Solutions Ltd, 2006.
- [6] Orizzonti Saipem, Centro Servizi Riproduzione Documentale Xerox Sieco, Milano, 12.04.2006
- [7] <http://www.pmi.org/info/default.asp>, Accessed: 14th April 2009.
- [8] Shmueli G, Patel N, Bruce P: Data Mining for Business Intelligence: Concepts, Techniques, and Applications in Microsoft Office Excel with XLMiner. Wiley- Interscience, 2006.